



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/889,345	02/26/2002	Gustay Ruschmann	1704	2767
7590	11/24/2003		EXAMINER	
Striker Striker and Stenby 103 East Neck Road Huntington, NY 11743			RODRIGUEZ, RUTH C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3677	

DATE MAILED: 11/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/889,345	Applicant(s) RUSCHMANN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ruth C Rodriguez	3677

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 September 2003.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1,2,4-8 and 10-12 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1,2,4-8 and 10-12 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ .
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____. 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ullom (US 3,872,578).

A device connects a shaft (20) to a ring (28) having an inside face (30) that is in contact with an outside face (22) of the shaft (Figs. 1-3). There are deformation regions (24) on the outside face of the shaft by means of which a force-locking engagement assures a rotationally fixed connection of the ring to the shaft (Figs. 1 and 3). The deformations regions are impressed by means of at least two impressed (26) features by means of an impressing die (10,12) into the outside face of the shaft that is to be brought into contact with the inside face of the ring before mounting the ring (Figs. 1-6). The deformations regions are arranged approximately centrally in an axial direction of the outer face of the shaft in a region of the inner face of the mounted ring (Figs. 1-6).

The deformation regions are distributed regularly in the radial direction over the outside face of the shaft (Figs. 1-6).

The deformation regions are formed by at least two impressed features (Figs. 1-6).

The impressed features have a conical shape (Figs. 4 and 5).

The cone of the impressed features is between 50 degrees and 70 degrees, and is preferably 60 degrees (C. 2, L. 8-10 and Figs. 4 and 5).

Characterized in that two of the impressed features at a time are disposed in pairs (Figs. 1-6)

The impressed features are offset by at least 180 degrees from one another (Figs. 1-6).

In addition to the impressed features, radially extending indentations are present on the outside face of the shaft (Fig. 4).

The cone of the impressed features is 60 degrees (C. 2, L. 8-10 and Figs. 4 and 5).

Characterized in that the deformation regions are disposed approximately centrally in the axial direction to the inside face (Fig. 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 6 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ullom.

Ullom discloses a device having all the features listed above in paragraph 2 for the rejection of claim 4. Ullom fails to disclose that the maximum diameter of the impressed features is between 1.5 mm and 2.4 mm. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to have the maximum diameter of the impressed features is between 1.5 mm and 2.4 mm because a change in the size of a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Rose, 220 F.2d 459, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955). Especially since the applicant states that this dimension is the preferred dimension but fails to provide any reason why it is best to use this specific dimension.

The same rejection of claim 6 will apply to claim 12 reciting that the maximum diameter of the impressed features is 1.9 mm.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 4-8 and 10-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Mazzeo (US 4,089,612), Kanamura (US 4,249,298), Tsumuki et al. (US 4,438,555), Sugiuchi et al. (US 4,620,454), Yamaji et al. (US 4,781,075), Egner-Walter (US 6,099,195), Aota et al. (US 6,428,236 B2), Suzuki et al. (US 2002/0041790 A1), French Patent Document FR 806,791, Japanese Patent Document JP 55-94740, Japanese Patent Document JP 404277321 A, Japanese Patent Document JP 5-10340, Japanese Patent Document JP 6-200951 and Japanese Patent Document JP 6-221333 are cited to show state of the art with respect to a device for connecting a ring to a shaft having some of the features claimed under the current application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ruth C Rodriguez whose telephone number is (703) 308-1881. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 07:15 - 15:45.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. J. Swann can be reached on (703) 306-4115.

Submissions of your responses by facsimile transmission are encouraged. Technology center 3600's facsimile number for before and after final communications is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Ruth C. Rodriguez
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3677

RCR
rcr

November 12, 2003


ROBERT J. SANDY
PRIMARY EXAMINER